Prevention of *Salmonella* Infection in Poultry by Specific Egg-Derived Antibody
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**Abstract:** *Salmonella enteritidis* (SE) colonizes the intestinal tract of poultry and causes food born illness in humans. Reduction of (SE) colonization in the intestinal tract of poultry reduces potential carcass contamination during slaughter. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of SE-specific yolk immunoglobulin (IgY) on prevention of SE colonization in orally infected broiler chickens. Commercial Single Comb White Leghorn (SCWL) hens were hyperimmunized with SE whole cell antigens. The presence of anti-*Salmonella* antibody, IgY and IgG in egg yolk and serum respectively, was monitored by Enzyme Linked Sorbent Assay (ELISA). Two hundred forty male “Ross 308” day old chicks were randomly assigned to 8 groups and 3 replications of 10 birds were grown for 42 days of experiment. Eight experimental groups identified with, S, P, A, SP, SA, AP, SPA, C. Four birds from four challenged groups (S), were orally inoculated with 1 mL of bacterial suspension that contained 1×10⁶ CFU mL⁻¹ *S. enteritidis at* 3 day of age. The groups that supplemented with antibody (A) received 15 mL of yolk contained antibody mixed per 3.84 mL of drinking water on day 1 and continuing for duration of the experiment. The probiotic treated groups (P) were received probiotic, 0.1% of feed and 0.5% of feed, until day 21 and 56 respectively. One group as control (C) did not receive any treatment of probiotic and antibody. A-treated and A-P treated groups had significantly lower fecal shedding (p<0.01) and lower concentration of SE cecal colonization (p<0.01). These groups also had a lower isolation of SE from the liver, spleen and ileum. The use of *Salmonella enteritidis*-specific IgY combined with probiotic had a beneficial effect in reducing the colonization of *Salmonella* in market-aged broiler under the condition of this study.
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**Introduction**

Passive immunity against gastrointestinal infections has recently been successfully applied as prophylaxis therapy in patients in a variety of virally and bacterially induced infections (Carlander, 2002). Prevention of bacterial infections, especially those due to *Salmonella* (Cooper et al., 1994), *Campylobacter* and *Escherichia coli* (Fulton et al., 2002), in meat animals have been of increased importance in the last few years due to highly publicized food borne illnesses in humans related to the consumption of meat products (Tsubokura et al., 1997). The intestinal colonization of SE plays a significant role in carcass contamination during processing. The cecum is the main site of *Salmonella* colonization, therefore, reducing intestinal colonization of SE during the grow-out period is crucial in order to improve the carcass microbiological quality during processing (Wray, 2000; Michetti et al., 1992).

The use of competitive exclusion organism and antibiotic have effectively prevented *Salmonella* from colonizing the chickens (Baba et al., 1991). Providing newly hatched chicks with intestinal microflora from adult chickens decreases the incidence of *Salmonella* cecal colonization (Alvarez et al., 2003). In addition, chicken egg-derived antibodies, when given orally have been found to be effective in the prevention of clinical disease and infection with *Salmonella* and *E. coli* in broiler chickens (Stern et al., 1990; Tini et al., 2002) and other animals (Frendscho, 1994). Avian maternal antibodies are transferred to egg yolk (Sim et al., 2001). Immunoglobulin Y (IgY) is the major antibody found in egg yolk from hyper immunized chicken (*Galuum domesticus*) (Hansen et al., 1998). Simple collection of eggs instead of invasive blood sampling and bleeding has an advantage concerning the welfare of the immunized animals (Kruger, 2004; Moll et al., 1976). Average volume of egg yolk (15 mL) contains 50-100 mg of IgY of which 2-10% can be of specific antibodies, this is much higher amount of immunoglobulin that could be obtained by bleeding the animal (Narat, 2003). Thus the eggs of immunized chickens are an economical and abundant source of polyclonal antibody (Michetti et al., 1992). IgY can be used as an alternative to mammalian antibodies normally used in research and its use in immunotherapy has recently been proposed (Mine and Kovacs-Nolan, 1992). Immunoglobulin therapy may diminish the development of antibiotic resistant microorganisms (Shin et al., 2002). The purpose of this
research was to investigate the possibility of IgY production against SE, recovered from hyper immunized hens and its potential application as prophylaxis in SE infected broilers. Birds were raised in a standard environmental condition which is recommended by the management guide.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experiment 1**

**Immunization of hens:** Four 33-week-old commercial Single Comb White Leghorn (SCWL) hens were hyper immunized intramuscularly in the breast and leg muscle with SE whole cell antigens were obtained by ultrasonication and administrated at a protein concentration of 500 µg mL⁻¹ (1.0×10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹) after centrifugation. Primary immunization was performed with 250 µg of the antigen emulsified in an equal volume of Freund's complete adjuvant. After the initial immunization the animals received two booster injections with 2 week intervals, using incomplete Freund's adjuvant (Lee et al., 2002). Bleedings and egg collections were performed 20 and 25 days after first and subsequent injection, respectively. The eggs were kept at 4°C until use. The presence of anti-Salmonella antibody IgY and IgG in egg yolk and serum respectively, was monitored by ELISA, using bacterial whole cells extract as antigen, during the immunization period (about 117 days).

**IgY primary purification:** The eggs were broken out and the yolk was collected in an equal volume of PBS (0.1 M, pH = 7.6). This mixture was vortexed and the resulting mixture was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 25 min. The superficial lipid layer was removed and the resulting supernatant was collected and stored at 4°C until it was used as the IgY source (Fulton et al., 2002).

**IgY purification:** Egg yolk antibodies were purified following the method of (Polson, 1985) using polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation procedure with minor modification as follow. The yolk was separated from the white and carefully washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS 0.1 M, pH = 7.6) in order to remove as much of the albumin as possible. The yolk membrane was cut and poured into a glass beaker and diluted with equal volumes of PBS. The mixture was homogenized for 20 min and filtered. To the homogenized solution of egg yolk was added 2.62 g of PEG 6000 in small quantities while stirring. The suspension was centrifuged at 5000×g for 20 min at 4°C, precipitate was discarded and the supernatant was filtered and added with 1.08 g of PEG. The resulting suspension was incubated for 10 min at room temperature and centrifuged at 5000×g for 25 min. The supernatant was discarded and precipitate was dissolved in 37.5 mL PBS. To this solution was added 4.5 g of PEG while stirring, the resulting cloudy solution was centrifuged at 5000×g for 25 min. The supernatant was discarded and precipitate was dissolved in 3.7 mL cooled (0°C) PBS. This clear solution was treated with 3.7 mL chilled ethanol (-20°C) and centrifuged at 10000×g for 25 min. The supernatant was discarded and precipitate was dissolved in 3.7 mL PBS. Finally the product was dialyzed with three changes of PBS for 24 h at 4°C. Protein concentration of final solution was determined as 60 mg mL⁻¹.

**ELISA:** Flat bottomed polyvinyl chloride ELISA plates were coated overnight at 37°C, with 100 µL well⁻¹ SE whole cells antigens solution at a concentration of 10 µg 100 µL⁻¹ in ELISA buffer (10 mmol PBS, pH = 7.4). Wells were washed three times using deionized water and blocked with 3% skimmed milk powder for 45 min at 37°C and finally was washed three times with deionized water. Different concentration of purified IgY (2.5, 5, 10, 20 µg mL⁻¹) were prepared in EIA buffer. Serum samples were also diluted (1:1000) in EIA buffer. Finally 100 µL of each dilution of IgY or serum samples were added to coated wells. In order to evaluate the non-specific binding reactions, normal serum sample of hen was also diluted (1:300) in EIA buffer and 100 µL of this solution was added to coated well. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. At the end of incubation time, bound immunoglobulins (IgY and IgG) were detected by incubating with rabbit anti-chicken-IgY-horseradish peroxidase, for 1 h at 37°C, then plate was washed five times. Finally, 100 µL tetramethyl benzidine (TMB), a horseradish peroxidase substrate was added. The reaction was stopped after 10 min by addition of HCl (0.5 M) and the plate was read at 450 nm in a ELISA-reader.

**Experiment 2**

**Experimental animals:** Two hundred forty one-day-old male Hubbard chicks were obtained from a Salmonella-free parent flock and randomly assigned to three groups of 24 birds which were kept in floor pens on litter. The chickens were given feed and water ad libitum during the 39 days of experiment. The feed was conventional for broiler without antimicrobials and coccidostats. The feed was analyzed for Salmonella content before experiment following an enrichment procedure (Barrow, 1991). Briefly, 10 g of feed samples were diluted 1:10 and pre-enriched in Buffered Peptone Water (BPW) overnight at 37°C, 100 µL were then transferred to 10 mL selenite F broth (Difco) and incubated overnight at 37°C. The selenite enrichment broths were analyzed for growth of SE on Salmonella-Shigella (SS) agar (Difco) after incubation of the plates for 24 h at 37°C.

**Bacterial strain and growth condition:** The strain used Salmonella enteritidis RITCC1695, was originally purchased from Razi Vaccine and Serum Research...
Institute (Karaj, Iran). For the preparation of the inocula, bacteria were grown in nutrient broth at 37°C for 24 h. The cultures were centrifuged for 20 min at 5000 rpm and resuspended in fresh broth to a smaller final volume to produce a highly concentrated culture without bacterial extracellular products. Tenfold dilution series for inoculation of chickens were made in sterile saline and the viable cell concentration of the inoculum was determined by counting the Colony Forming Units (CFU) on SS-agar plates, following a pour plate procedure (Bjerrum et al., 2003).

**Experimental design:** Two hundred forty male “Ross 308” day old chicks were randomly assigned to 8 groups and 3 replications of 10 birds were grown for 42 days of experiment. Eight experimental groups identified by treatments: S, P, A, SP, SA, AP, SPA, C at the same order as described. Four birds from four challenged groups (S), were orally inoculated with 1 mL of bacterial suspension that contained $1 \times 10^6$ CFU mL$^{-1}$ SE at 3 day of age. The groups that supplemented with antibody (A), received 15 mL of yolk contained antibody mixed per 3 mL of drinking water on day 1 and continuing for duration of the experiment. The probiotic treated groups (P) were received Primalac (Star Labs, Clarkdale, MO, USA, contained $2.5 \times 10^6$ CFU g$^{-1}$ of Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus casei, Enterococcus durans, Bifidobacterium thermophilus), 0.1% of feed and 0.5% of feed, until day 21 and 56 respectively. One group as control (C) did not receive any treatment of probiotic and antibody.

**Inoculation dose:** The dose for the experiment was chosen based on pre-experiments. The goal was to achieve an infection that lasted for the whole life of the chicken with counts of *Salmonella* as stable as possible. The birds received 500 µL of bacterial suspension contained $2 \times 10^6$ CFU mL$^{-1}$ of SE.

**Bacterial culture:** During the experiment, one chicken efficacy of antibody and probiotic treatment on resistance to *Salmonella* challenge, the protection factor (mean log$_{10}$ Salmonella control group/mean log$_{10}$ Salmonella-treated group) was calculated.

**Determination of protection factor:** To evaluate the efficacy of antibody and probiotic treatment on resistance to *Salmonella* challenge, the protection factor (mean log$_{10}$ Salmonella control group/mean log$_{10}$ Salmonella-treated group) was calculated.

**Data analysis:** Differences between CFU of *Salmonella* were determined by SAS statistical program. Significant differences were further separated using Duncan’s multiple-range test. Chi-square analysis was used to determine significant differences between groups in shedding of SE from feces.

**Results and Discussion**

**IgY in yolk and serum:** The activities of anti-SE antibody were determined by ELISA in the serum and egg yolk from laying hens as shown in Fig. 1. The antibody activity in serum increased rapidly and reached a peak...
on day 20. However the antibody activity in egg yolk was very weak on day 20 and gradually increased thereafter to reach the peak on day 55 after first injection.

Clinical signs and mortality: All birds which received $10^6$ cells were dull and had diarrhea between days two and seven after SE administration, however all clinical symptoms were disappeared after this period. During these experiments no clinical symptoms of infection with SE were observed and the mortality rate for these chickens was not higher than that of the control group. At every sampling stages, chickens were selected from all groups. The control chickens were free of Salmonella throughout the experiment.

Shedding of SE in feces: There was a significant difference (p<0.01) in the isolation of SE from the swab samples when A and P-treated and control groups were compared. The cloacal swabs taken before inoculation were free of SE in all groups. The chickens receiving antibody alone or in combination with probiotic, were according to the direct plating, free of Salmonella on day 28 (Table 1), thus the declined in less than 4 weeks, whereas the SE was isolated from 4/27 (%14) swab samples of S group, on day 35. The total of positive swab samples of SA and SPA groups were lower than S group throughout the duration of the experiment (p<0.01). The average the time of shedding is longer in the S group than in the SA and SPA groups. Previous studies have shown that cloacal swab samples could not be accurate estimate for Salmonella contamination of flocks, because of intermittent shedding of Salmonella from feces.

In this study, dose levels around $10^6$ CFU bird$^{-1}$ yielded stable SE levels in 3-day-old chickens. Pre-experiments with lower doses did not result in 100% infection of the chickens (Barrow, 1991; Bjerrum et al., 2003), which was our criterion for the infection. In contrast Methner et al. (1995) found that chickens inoculated with $10^5$ CFU got an infection that lasted for several weeks post inoculation. However, in experiments with chickens kept on litter, reinfection may play a more important role in the persistence of the infection and, thus a lower dose may be needed (Beal et al., 2004; Gast, 2000).

### Concentration of SE in intestinal contents:

There was a significant difference (p<0.01) in the mean number of SE in the cecal contents of the treated and non-treated challenged groups (Table 2). The groups receiving A alone or in combination with P, had a significantly lower concentration of SE colonization at days 21 and 28 when compared to the S group. Similar observations were made in broiler (Tellez et al., 2001), ducklings (Fulton et al., 2002), piglets and calves (Yokoyama, 1998). There was a considerable difference between the carriage of Salmonella in the cecal contents, indicating that broilers are able to clear systemic infections, but can remain intestinal carriers (Bjerrum et al., 2003). The intestinal carrier status is most important in control of contamination during transportation and processing of broilers, where cross contamination plays a major role (Gurtler et al., 2004). From these results it appears that probiotics work synergistically with oral antibody to prevent infection, this has been previously reported in chickens (Coleman, 2000; Tellez et al., 2001). The investigations of Tusbakura et al. (1997) indicated that the antibody used did not possess any bactericidal or bacteriostatic effect in vitro, but led to altered growth characteristics with clumping of the bacteria, which may affect the degree of colonization. Similar data, that suggested the immune exclusion at the mucosal surface by monoclonal IgA antibodies, have also been recorded in model systems of gastrointestinal disease (Seo et al., 2003; Hilpert, 1996). It is therefore likely that one of the major mechanisms of action in our experiment could be the blocking of bacteria to adhere to the intestinal wall, thus preventing its colonization (Ma et al., 1990). Various theories have been proposed as to the mechanisms by which probiotics protect their host against invading enteropathogens. Some of these include: competition for limiting nutrients, competition for attachment sites on the intestinal mucosa (Balevi et al., 2001) and production of short-chain volatile fatty acids (Humphrey et al., 1991).

---

### Table 1: Shedding of SE following Challenge of 3 day old broiler chickens at several times post inoculation [number of isolates/total number of swabs (% positive cases)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (day)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>S (14/30; %46)</th>
<th>SA (9/30; %30)</th>
<th>SPA (8/29; %27.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6/30 (0.20)</td>
<td>3/29 (0.13)</td>
<td>2/29 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4/27 (0.14)</td>
<td>0/26 (0.00)</td>
<td>0/25 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0/26 (0.00)</td>
<td>0/26 (0.00)</td>
<td>0/25 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Means within a row lacking a common superscript differ significantly (p<0.01)**

### Table 2: Effect of probiotic and antibody (IgY) on the mean concentration of SE from cecum in broiler chickens**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (day)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>SPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.26b</td>
<td>1.23a</td>
<td>2.92a</td>
<td>1.12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.98b</td>
<td>0.27a</td>
<td>1.98a</td>
<td>0.38c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significant difference (p<0.01) NS non-Significant**

---
Rahimi et al.: Prevention of Salmonella by Egg-derived Antibody

Table 3: Efficacy of probiotic and antibody treatment on resistance to Salmonella challenge in the cecal contents of broiler chickens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>SPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 28</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPF = mean Log10

Table 4: Isolation of S. enteritidis from internal organs at several times postinoculation: Spleen (S), Liver (L), ileum (I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (day)</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PF were calculated to assess the efficacy of the antibody and probiotic (Table 3). The higher values of PF in the SPA and SA groups were indicated that A and P-treatment were more effective in preventing Salmonella colonization, when compared to the SP group. Probiotic alone was not effective for inhibition of Salmonella colonization in cecum which corresponded with results of Salmonella count in the cecum content (Tellez et al., 2001).

Organ invasion of SE: Following oral challenge with 1×10^6 CFU of SE, the SE bacterial infection was observed in livers, ileums and spleens of most birds until 3 weeks PI (Table 4). However as the time elapsed from the initial day of administration fewer and fewer positive samples from these organs were found. The SA and SPA groups had a marked lower number of positive cases at 28 day of age, when compared to the SP and control groups. However, all groups had showed no positive case, on day 35. Most samples from the ileum were negative for Salmonella, only one positive sample was found in S group at day 21. These results are in agreement with other studies, where Salmonella was followed in different organs postinfection (Bjerrum et al., 2003; Heres et al., 2003). The passage time of Salmonella in the ileum is very fast compared to the ceca where the bacteria will have more time to establish (Barrow, 1991).

Tellez et al., 2001 demonstrated that avian-specific probiotics and anti-SE specific IgY, inhibited SE and S. typhimurium (ST) colonization and organ invasion of market-aged chickens. Although this study was conducted in young birds, trials of the avian egg yolk antibody consumption in layers are needed especially as large scale incidences associated with SE and ST contaminated egg products have been reported (Richardson et al., 2003). IgY will be a useful tool in the control of Salmonella infection in the poultry industry and the use of SE-specific IgY combined with probiotic have a beneficial effect in reducing the colonization of Salmonella in market-aged broiler.
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