Profile of Catfish (Clarias sp) Oocyte Exposed by Laserpuncture
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Abstract
An exposure of low-powered laserpuncture at reproductive acupoint of catfish brood stock is known stimulate oocyte maturation. However, the profile of oocyte resulted from laserpuncture exposure has not been known. The present study aimed to identify the profile of catfish (Clarias sp.) oocyte in post-exposure laserpuncture. A total of 48 catfishes with ages of 8-9 months was grouped into two, i.e. fishes were exposed with laserpuncture and without exposure to laserpuncture (control) with 3 replications. Laserpuncture exposure was conducted once a week until the brood stock matured. Profile of oocyte such as, the GSI value, egg diameter, total of oocytes and the gonad maturity stage were examined. The results showed that
laserpuncture exposure at the catfish reproductive acupoint could trigger the oocyte development without reducing quality of the oocyte indicated by the increase of gonadosomatic index (GSI), oocyte diameter, total of oocytes and gonad maturity stage similar to control. Moreover, laserpuncture exposure accelerated the gonad maturation, three weeks faster than control.
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1. **Introduction**

Oocyte development is naturally regulated by gonadotropin hormone (GtH) which is produced by pituitary hypothalamic as a response to environmental signals (Nagahama, 1994; Cornish, 1998; Bhattacharya, 1999; Wijayanti et al., 2009; Ramezani-Fard et al., 2012; Pandey, 2013). Nevertheless, natural oocyte development, in order to reach the oocyte maturation, requires longer time than manipulating the environment by the use of hormone, feed supplementation (Solang and Lamondo, 2009), and low powered laserpuncture exposure (Kusuma et al., 2008).

Laserpuncture exposure on living organisms, such as catfish, with low power (4-5 mW) (Sukarto, 1992) alters the cell membrane potential and stimulates hormone production (Karun, 1988; Koutna et al., 2003; Katona et al., 2004; Gao and Da Xing, 2009; Kusuma et al., 2012b). Moreover, laserpuncture exposure at the reproductive acupoint for 15 seconds increases the gonadotropin hormone (GtH) production (Kusuma et al., 2012b; Kusuma, 2013), which is the regulator for steroidogenesis, oogenesis, and oocyte maturation in catfish (Jalabert, 2008).

Laserpuncture induces maturation of the oocytes, ovulation and spawning (Kusuma and Hariani, 2008; Hariani and Kusuma, 2009; Hariani et al., 2010) and enlarges the GtH dynamics (Kusuma et al., 2012a; Kusuma et al., 2012b; Kusuma, 2013). Although the low power- ed laserpuncture proves the stimulation of GtH production and oocyte maturation, its effect on Gonado Somatic Index (GSI), oocyte diameter, total of oocytes, and gonad maturity stage of female catfish has not yet been studied. Fish gonad development is followed by an increase of diameter and total of oocytes (Lucey, 2009; Konan et al., 2014) and can be determined by GSI value (Poompoung et al., 2012). Therefore, we have examined profile of catfish oocyte after exposed by laserpuncture.

2. **Materials and Methods**

2.1 **Experimental Conditions**

This study was carried out in Freshwater Aquaculture Management Unit (UPBAT) Kepanjen; Biochemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine, Brawijaya University; and Clinical Pathology Laboratory of dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya; from January to May 2012. We collected 8-9 month old of F1 catfish brood- stock of cross-breed from female Sangkuriang and male Paiton. A total of 48 females with 760-1300 g of body weight and 48 males with 1140-1759 g of body weight were obtained from one population in UPBAT. All catfish had been acclimated for seven days in 2 x 2 x 1 m³ in tarpaulin ponds, fed in morning and afternoon with 36 % protein (Pokphan 781-3; CP Prima production). After acclimation, the mature gonad male and female catfish (ratio1:1) were transported to spawning pond facilitated with
kaka-ban for spawning. The following day, after the catfish had been spawned (assuming that they had no mature oocyte), the female catfish were treated by laserpuncture (soft laser Helium-Neon (He-Ne); 5 mW and λ 632.8 nm) for 15 seconds at the reproductive acupoint (2/3 of the ventral body) for once a week until gonads matured (three weeks) (Kusuma et al., 2007).

2.2 GSI, Total Oocyte and Oocyte Diameter Measurement

The catfish were weighed before (W) and after removing their gonad (GW) to obtain GSI value by the formula: GSI = (GW/ (W – GW))*100 (Rocha, 2008). The oocyte diameter was measured by using an ocular micrometer under the light microscope (Olympus CX 4) (Foucher and Beamish, 1980). Total oocytes were counted as follow 0.03 g of oocytes (GS) were accounted, to obtain a number of oocytes (n), and then total oocytes/broodstock were counted based on a formula N = (GW/ GS )*n (Ayidin and Sahin, 2011).

2.3 Gonad Maturity Stage Determination

Gonad maturity stage was determined by Hematoxylin and Eosin staining based on McDowell and Trump (1976) with slight modification. The upper part of the gonad (3 cm long) was fixed by PFA 10% and dehydrated in alcohol series. Then, it was continued by clearing with xylol and embedding in the paraffin block. The preparation was cut by a microtome (with thickness 4-5μm) and then stained by Hematoxylin and Eosin. Next, it was mounted in Canada balsam and observed under a light microscope (Olympus CX 4) (Santos et al., 2005). The determination of gonad maturity stage refers to Çek and Yilmaz (2007).

3. Results and Discussion

This result showed that the laserpuncture accelerated gonad maturation in week four; this was indicated by the brownish red to blackish purple of the pores genitals. The ovary was dominated by oocytes in stage V and VI (Table 1), GSI was 15.52± 0.73 (Table 4), oocyte diameter was 1.227±0.031 mm (Table 2), and the total of oocyte was 159885±20577 (Table 3). On the contrary, the control group showed that the gonad was mature in week seven. The ovary was dominated by oocytes in stage V and VI (Table 1), GSI was 16.39±1.23 (Table 4), oocyte diameter was 1.267±0.058 mm (Table 2), and the total of oocytes was 144150±49441 (Table 3). Laserpuncture exposure was confirmed to be able to accelerate the gonad maturity in three weeks faster than that of the control group without reduce the oocyte quality.

Table 1. Total of oocytes development stages after laserpuncture exposed compared to the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>I C</th>
<th>I L</th>
<th>II C</th>
<th>II L</th>
<th>III C</th>
<th>III L</th>
<th>IV C</th>
<th>IV L</th>
<th>V C</th>
<th>V L</th>
<th>VI C</th>
<th>VI L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>3±0</td>
<td>3±0</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6±1</td>
<td>6±0</td>
<td>3±1</td>
<td>8±1</td>
<td>4±0</td>
<td>6±2</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>2±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>6±2</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>7±1.7</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>6±1</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>9±1.7</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>6±1</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>7±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7±1</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td>6±2.7</td>
<td>7±2.7</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>6±0</td>
<td>8±1.7</td>
<td>6±2</td>
<td>3±1.7</td>
<td>8±2</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td>7±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8±2</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>9±1.7</td>
<td>15±2</td>
<td>10±1.7</td>
<td>15±2</td>
<td>6±1.7</td>
<td>13±2.7</td>
<td>4±1</td>
<td>13±2.7</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>10±1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes: C = number of oocytes without laserpuncture exposure (control)
L = number of oocyte after laserpuncture exposure
= not observed, broodstock already in mature gonad

Table 2. Oocyte diameter after laserpuncture exposed compared to control group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Laserpuncture exposed group (mm)</th>
<th>Control group (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.943±0.025</td>
<td>0.943±0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.027±0.042</td>
<td>0.97±0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.057±0.049</td>
<td>0.993±0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.067±0.058</td>
<td>1.057±0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.227±0.031*</td>
<td>1.093±0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.163±0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.193±0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.267±0.058*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *: oocytes were mature, indicated by brownish yellow and observation was terminated

Table 3. Total oocytes after laserpuncture exposed compared to control group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Laserpuncture exposed group (eggs/ broodstock)</th>
<th>Control group (eggs/broodstock)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15553±2771</td>
<td>15553±2771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45633±1348</td>
<td>29125±7278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>138693±1205</td>
<td>103368±18903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>158402±44058</td>
<td>110952±30265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>159885±20577*</td>
<td>117212±47342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122254±26947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127349±17690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144150±9441*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *: oocytes were mature, indicated by brownish yellow and observation was terminated

Table 4. GSI after laserpuncture exposed compared to control group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Laserpuncture exposed group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.43±0.78</td>
<td>2.43±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00±0.339</td>
<td>4.19±0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.46±0.311</td>
<td>10.98±1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.44±3.062*</td>
<td>12.12±2.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.52±0.728**</td>
<td>1348±3.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.73±1.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.90±4.490*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.38±1.237**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: brownish red in porous genitals and small oocytes were separated individually
**: oocytes were mature, indicated by brownish red to blackish purple in porous genitals and large oocytes were separated individually and observation was terminated

The enlargement of oocyte diameter was due to the oocyte cytoplasm filled with yolk mass. Yaron (1995) points out that in vitellogenesis level, both number and size of yolk granule are escalated; thus, it results in a bigger oocyte volume and higher gonad weight.

Laserpuncture accelerated gonad maturation three weeks faster than control (Table 1) and GSI
enhancement in catfish (Clarias sp) (Table 4). This data corroborates Kusuma et al. (2012b); Kusuma (2013) described that laserpuncture exposure at the reproductive acupoints increased GtH and GSI in catfish. The phenomenon suggested that laserpuncture exposure at the reproductive acupoint be an alternative method to accelerate gonad maturation without reducing the quality of oocytes profile. Therefore, the method is warrant for improving catfish reproduction and can be implemented for aquaculture catfish. Oocyte profile, i.e. oocyte size, total oocyte and GSI has increased in both laserpuncture and without exposure (Table 2,3 and 4). However, the laserpuncture exposure able to stimulate oocyte maturation faster followed by increasing oocyte diameter and GSI value compared to control. It is also suggested by Kusuma (2013) that laserpuncture exposure could significantly raise the gonadotropin hormone production, like GtH I, GtH II and the GSI.

GtH-I and GtH-II stimulate the gonadal gland to produce the steroid hormone namely 17β-estradiol. According to Arukwe and Goksøyr (2003), Berg et al. (2004), Jalabert (2005), and Muhammad et al. (2011), 17β-estradiol stimulates hepatic cell to synthesize vitellogenins, which will be absorbed by the oocyte (Jalabert, 2005; Muhammad et al., 2011). De Vlaming et.al. (1982) also states that mature oocyte has high value of GSI in fish that is ready to spawn. Konan (2014) explained that GSI is required to assess gonad activities and development.

GtH II stimulates the process of oocyte in the final maturation by Maturation Inducing Hormone (MIH) (Nagahama, 1994) resulting upregulation of the Maturation Promoting Factor (MPF). Then, the MPF (Nagahama, 1994; Yaron et al., 2003) stimulates the Germinal Vesicle Break Down (GVBD) so that the mature oocytes will soon be ovulated, and spawning occurs (Clelland and Peng, 2009). Therefore, the data indicated that laserpuncture exposure could accelerate the oocyte developmental stage, and shorten the spawning time (Kusuma, 2013).

4. Conclusion

Laserpuncture-exposed catfish has gonad maturation in week-four indicated by oocyte diameter 1.227±0.031 mm, total of oocytes 159885±20577 eggs/broodstock, GSI 15.52 ± 0.73. Laserpuncture accelerated gonad maturation three weeks faster than control of catfish without reducing the oocyte quality.
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