Protein Levels for Heat-Exposed Broilers: Performance, Nutrients Digestibility, and Energy and Protein Metabolism
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Abstract: Heat stress causes significant economic losses on broilers production due to poorer performance and carcass quality. Considering that protein has the highest heat increment among nutrients, it has been suggested that protein levels should be reduced in diets for heat-exposed broilers. Nevertheless, there are no conclusive results on the benefits of such practice, and further studies should be performed to elucidate some reported discrepancies. Thus, a trial was carried out to evaluate the effects of dietary protein levels (17, 20 and 23%) and environmental temperature (22 and 32°C) on the performance, nutrients digestibility, and energy and protein metabolism of broiler chickens from 21 to 42 days of age. Nutrients digestibility was determined by total excreta collection, and energy and protein metabolism was evaluated by comparative slaughter method. It was concluded that (1) heat exposure impairs broilers performance and increases nitrogen excretion, but do not change nutrients digestibility; (2) high-protein diets are technically feasible and promotes lower heat production for broilers reared under thermoneutral or hot environments, however, high-protein diets increases nitrogen excretion.
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Introduction

Heat stress causes significant economic losses on broilers production due to poorer performance, lower breast meat yield, and greater carcass fat deposition (Ain Baziz et al., 1996). Among the proposed nutritional practices to alleviate heat stress effects, it has been suggested the decrease of crude protein levels (Hruby et al., 1997; Faria Filho, 2003) have reported that low-heat increment among nutrients (Musharaf an and practices to alleviate heat stress effects, it has been (Ain Baziz et al. 1996). Among the proposed nutritional practices to alleviate heat stress effects, it has been suggested the decrease of crude protein levels (Hruby et al., 1995; Cheng et al., 1999), since it has highest heat increment among nutrients (Musharaf and Latshaw, 1999). Nevertheless, Alleman and Leclercq (1997) and Faria Filho (2003) have reported that low-protein diets impair broilers performance in hot environments. Thus, the increase in crude protein and/or amino acid levels for heat-exposed broilers to help to withstand the poor feed intake has shown some positive results (Temim et al., 1999; Temim et al., 2000a; Gonzalez-Esquerra and Lesson, 2005) or no effects (Zarate et al., 2003a,b). In this sense, studies on nutrients digestibility, and energy and protein metabolism are needed to better understand the results involving protein levels and heat exposure.

In regard to energy metabolism, low-protein diets were shown to increase heat production in broilers (Buyse et al., 1992; Nieto et al., 1997; Swennen et al., 2004), whereas other studies have reported no influence (Macleod, 1990, 1992, 1997). Temim et al. (2000b) have shown broilers reared under 32°C and fed high-protein diet did not modify protein synthesis. Since heat production is highly associated to protein accretion (Macleod, 1997), such results indicate that high-protein diets have not increased heat production, nevertheless, this statement should still be confirmed.

Changes in nutrients digestibility might also occur in heat-exposed broilers, but results are widely inconsistent. Geraert et al. (1992) have described that dietary metabolizable energy are not changed in heat-exposed broilers, whereas Keshavarz and Fuller (1980) reported higher contents and Yamazaki and Zr-Yi (1982) found lower metabolizable energy levels. In regard to the coefficient of digestibility, heat-related broilers showed lower digestibility of dietary amino acids, although such effect occurred mainly in females (Wallis and Banalve, 1984). Bonnet et al. (1997) reported that heat exposure decreases protein and fat digestibility and the reduction explains partially the poorer performance of heat-exposed broilers.

When defining protein levels for broilers diet, it must be considered not only technical and economical aspects, but also the impact of such diets on nitrogen excretion. From total nitrogen intake, 51.1% is retained on broilers carcass, 30.6% remains in the litter and 18.3% is lost as ammonia (Patterson and Adrizal, 2005), and as protein levels increase nitrogen excretion increases (Blair et al., 1999; Aletor et al., 2000). Faria Filho et al. (2006) reported that nitrogen excretion decreased in broilers fed low-protein diets at 20 or 25°C, but this effect was not observed in broilers reared under 32°C. Nevertheless, the interaction between high-protein diets and
environmental temperature on nitrogen excretion should be further evaluated.

The present study was carried out to evaluate the effects of crude protein levels and environmental temperature on performance, nutrients digestibility and energy and protein metabolism in broiler chickens from 21 to 42 days of age.

Materials and Methods

In the pre-experimental period (1 to 21 days of age), chicks were fed the same diet (Table 1) and were kept in environmentally controlled rooms under thermoneutral conditions: 30.2±2.8°C (1 to 7 days of age), 27.3±2.6°C (8 to 14 days of age) and 26.3±3.0°C (15 to 21 days of age). Relative humidity was kept at 56 ± 12%. At 21 days of age, 96 male Cobb-500® broilers (body weight = 899.6±3.8 g) were housed in battery cages, and assigned randomly in a 3 x 2 factorial arrangement, as follows: crude protein levels (17, 20 and 23%) and environmental temperatures (21.9±0.9°C and 32.2±1.3°C). There were four repetitions (cages) per treatment with four birds each.

Water and feed were provided ad libitum. Corn soybean meal-based diets (Table 1) were formulated using Rostagno et al. (2000) for ingredient composition and nutrient requirements. The low-protein diet (17%) was formulated based on the ideal protein concept (Baker and Han, 1994) where digestible amino acid requirements were expressed as percentages of digestible lysine, as follows: methionine+cystine 75%,

Table 1: Pre-experimental diet (1 to 21 days of age) and experimental diets (21 to 42 days of age)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients (%)</th>
<th>Pre-experimental diet</th>
<th>Experimental diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17% CP</td>
<td>20% CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal, 45</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicalcium phosphate</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcitic limestone</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-methionine</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-lysine</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-threonine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-tryptophan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-arginine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-valine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-isoleucine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline chloride 60%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coxistac 12%®</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc bacitracin 15%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplement (1 kg/ton)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energy and nutrients

- Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg) 3,000 3,190 3,190 3,190
- Crude protein (%) 21.40 17.00 20.00 23.00
- Calcium (%) 0.96 0.90 0.90 0.90
- Available phosphorus (%) 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45
- Sodium (%) 0.22 0.20 0.20 0.20
- Potassium (%) 0.84 0.77 0.77 0.90
- Chloride (%) 0.36 0.34 0.34 0.29
- Electrolytic balance (mEq/kg) 209 188 188 217
- Choline (ppm) 1,996 1,868 1,868 1,868
- Digestible amino acids
  - Lysine 1.14 1.04 1.04 1.14
  - Methionine+cystine 0.82 0.78* 0.78* 0.85*
  - Tryptophan 0.24 0.18* 0.22 0.27
  - Threonine 0.73 0.73* 0.76 0.81
  - Arginine 1.35 1.09* 1.23 1.47
  - Isoleucine 0.84 0.70* 0.77 0.91
  - Valine 0.89 0.80* 0.82 0.95
  - Leucine 1.72 1.37 1.61 1.79
  - Histidine 0.53 0.40 0.49 0.56
  - Phenylalanine+Tyrosine 1.62 1.19 1.49 1.73

1CP=Crude protein. 2Vitamin/mineral supplement - levels per kg of diet: vitamin A 1,500 IU; vitamin D3 500 IU; vitamin E 20 mg; vitamin K 0.5 mg; vitamin B6 2.0 mg; vitamin B12 6.6 mg; vitamin B12 20.0 mg; folic acid 0.1 mg; panthotenic acid 10.0 mg; niacin 100.0 mg; antioxidant 125 mg; copper 10.0 mg; iron 50.0 mg; iodine 1.365 mg; manganese 0.25 mg; zinc 100 mg. *Ideal proportion in relation to lysine (Baker and Han, 1994).
Table 2: Observed means and analysis of variance for feed intake, weight gain and feed conversion of broilers between 21 and 42 days of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Feed intake (g)</th>
<th>Body weight gain (g)</th>
<th>Feed conversion g/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (ºC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.007±35</td>
<td>1.786±20</td>
<td>1.68±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.219±36</td>
<td>1.145±34</td>
<td>1.95±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.687±163</td>
<td>1.416±120</td>
<td>1.93±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.627±150</td>
<td>1.505±127</td>
<td>1.77±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.523±147</td>
<td>1.475±129</td>
<td>1.75±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (T)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (P)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction T x P</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± standard errors followed by similar letters in the column, within each factor, are not statistically different by the Test of Tukey (p<0.05).

Energy and protein metabolism were determined by the comparative slaughter method (Blaxter, 1989). Energy metabolism was assessed by apparent metabolizable energy intake, heat production, energy retained as fat and as protein, and energy retention efficiency. Apparent metabolizable energy intake was calculated by multiplying the feed intake by the dietary metabolizable energy levels. In order to determine retained energy, birds were slaughtered after 24 hours fasting at 21 days of age (n=10) and at 42 days of age (n=2 per repetition). Whole carcasses were frozen (-4°C) with feathers, blood and viscera, and then ground and dried in a forced ventilation oven at 55 ± 2°C for 72 hours. Afterwards, they were ground using a ball mill, and samples were used to determine dry matter, crude protein, ether extract and crude energy contents (Silva and Queiroz, 2002). The retained body energy was calculated as the difference in energy contents between 42 and 21 days of age. Heat production was determined as the difference between the apparent metabolizable energy intake and the retained energy. Energy retention as protein was calculated by multiplying the retained protein (difference in protein contents between 42 and 21 days of age) by 5.66 kcal/g. The energy retained as fat was calculated as the difference between the total retained energy and the energy retained as protein (Swennen et al., 2004). The energy retention efficiency was obtained as the ratio between the retained energy and the ingested energy.

Protein metabolism was evaluated by nitrogen ingestion, retention, excretion, and retention efficiency. Nitrogen ingestion was assessed by multiplying the feed intake by the dietary nitrogen levels. Nitrogen retention was calculated as the difference between the retained nitrogen at 42 and 21 days of age. Nitrogen excretion was estimated as the difference between the ingested nitrogen and the retained nitrogen. The efficiency of nitrogen retention was obtained as the ratio between retained nitrogen and ingested nitrogen.

The data were checked for outliers, and after the normal distribution of studentized errors (Cramer-Von Mises test) and homogeneity of variances (Brown-Forsythe test) was assessed. After the assumptions had been attended, the data were submitted to analysis of variance using General Linear Model procedure of SAS® (Littell et al., 2002). Statistically different means (P<0.05) were compared by Tukey’s test at 5% of probability.

Results and Discussion

Performance: There was no interaction between protein levels and environmental temperature on feed intake, weight gain and feed conversion (Table 2).

Birds exposed to 32°C showed feed intake 26% lower than those reared at 22°C, which might represent a
means of avoiding heat production associated with food consumption (Koh and Macleod, 1999). Body weight gain and feed conversion were poorer (-36% and +16%, respectively) in broilers submitted to 32°C in comparison to those reared at 22°C. As observed, the proportion of reduction in body weight gain was greater than the proportion of reduction in feed intake (-36 versus -26%) for heat-exposed broilers, leading to poor feed conversion, which are in close agreement with previous works (Ain Baziz et al., 1996; Geraert et al., 1996). Furthermore, these results indicate that the decreased body weight is not only due to the lower feed intake, but also to a direct effect of environmental temperature on broilers physiology/metabolism.

In regard to protein levels, feed intake was similar in broilers fed diets containing 17 and 20% of crude protein. It is known that feed intake is controlled not only by protein levels, but also by protein quality (Harper et al., 1970). The diet with 17% of crude protein had a high-quality protein, since it had ideal proportions of methionine, threonine, tryptophan, arginine, valine and isoleucine (Baker and Han, 1994), which might have contributed for the similar feed intake in comparison to the diet with 20% of crude protein. Broilers fed 23% of crude protein have lower feed intake compared to broilers fed 17% of crude protein, which might be due to the excess of essential amino acids in the 23% crude protein diet. The excess of some essential amino acids (methionine, histidine, threonine, tryptophan, and lysine) has an appetite suppressant activity (Acar et al., 2001). Body weight gain was not affected by protein levels, but there was a tendency (p = 0.07) of improvement in broilers fed with 20 or 23% of crude protein compared those fed 17% crude protein. Feed conversion was better in broilers fed with 20 or 23% of crude protein in comparison to those fed 17% of crude protein.

The absence of interaction between the protein levels and environmental temperature on the performance of broilers indicates that the protein level to be adopted is independent of environmental temperature. In previous studies, we have found similar results with broiler fed high-protein diets (Faria Filho, 2006) or low-protein diets (Faria Filho, unpublished results). On the other hand, other studies in our lab showed a clear interaction between low-protein diets and environmental temperature (Faria Filho, 2003; Faria Filho et al., 2006).

In both studies where interaction was seen, the use of low-protein diets impaired broilers performance under 32°C, but these diets were technically feasible for broiler reared under thermoneutral conditions. These contradictory results might be attributed to differences in severity and timing of heat exposure, as discussed by Gonzalez-Esquerra and Lesson (2005, 2006).

### Nutrients digestibility

There was no interaction between protein levels and environmental temperature on nutrients digestibility and apparent metabolizable energy corrected for nitrogen balance (AMEn) (Table 3). Environmental temperature did not affect the digestibility of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, and AMEn values. This indicates that the reduced performance of broilers kept at 32°C is not related to poorer utilization of nutrients. The literature is unclear whether the ability of broilers to metabolize nutrients is affected by high temperatures. Geraert et al. (1992) have reported that dietary metabolizable energy is not changed due to heat exposure of broilers, whereas Keshavarz and Fuller (1980) showed higher contents and Yamazaki and Zi-Yi (1982) found lower metabolizable energy levels. In regard to digestibility of nutrients, Wallis and Banalve (1984) have shown that heat exposure decreased the dietary amino acids digestibility, but such effect was seen mostly in females. Bonnet et al. (1997) reported lower coefficient of fat and protein digestibility in heat-exposed broilers. Once again, such different results might be attributed to specific experimental conditions in each study (e.g. strain, nutritional levels, ingredients, gender, among others), and especial attention should be paid to the severity and timing of heat exposure, as mentioned by Gonzalez-Esquerra and Lesson (2005, 2006).

Dietary crude protein levels affected both nutrients

---

**Table 3:** Observed means and analysis of variance for the digestibility coefficient of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) and ether extract (EE) and apparent metabolizable energy corrected for nitrogen balance (AMEn).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>EE</th>
<th>AMEn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (ºC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>74.5±0.8</td>
<td>64.3±0.9</td>
<td>80.4±1.4</td>
<td>3.02±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>74.8±0.9</td>
<td>63.3±0.8</td>
<td>82.4±0.9</td>
<td>3.03±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>77.9±0.3a</td>
<td>66.2±0.8a</td>
<td>79.0±0.9b</td>
<td>3.07±10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>74.7±0.4a</td>
<td>64.5±0.8a</td>
<td>81.0±1.6a</td>
<td>3.04±16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>71.4±0.4a</td>
<td>60.6±0.6a</td>
<td>84.1±1.3a</td>
<td>2.97±16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (T)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (P)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction T x P</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± standard errors followed by similar letters in the column, within each factor, are not statistically different by the test of Tukey (p>0.05). ' on natural matter.
digestibility and AMEn. The digestibility coefficients of dry matter and protein, and AMEn decreased as dietary protein levels increased. The low protein utilization for broilers fed high-protein diets has been reported (Blair et al., 1999; Aletor et al., 2000; Swennen et al., 2004), and might be associated with the oxidation of amino acid excesses. The lower AMEn values also observed is related the poor utilization of protein. On the other hand, ether extract digestibility increased with the protein levels, which could be attributed to the high inclusion of soybean oil in the high-protein diets (Table 1). It is well known that high-oil diets have the extra-caloric effect that generates a better metabolization of fat (Mateos and Sell, 1980).

Energy metabolism: There was no interaction between protein levels and environmental temperature on apparent metabolizable energy (AME) intake, heat production and energy retained as protein or fat (Table 4).

The AME intake and heat production were not affected by environmental temperature, but these variables tended to increase (p = 0.06) when the birds were exposed to 32°C. Nevertheless, it was expected lower heat production in broilers reared at 32°C (Macleod, 1992), since birds reared under such conditions show lower basal metabolism and lower activity (Ain Baziz et al., 1996). Broilers exposed to 22°C showed greater energy retention as protein and energy retention efficiency. Also, they had lower energy retention as fat compared to birds reared at 32°C. It is known that heat exposure reduces body protein deposition and increases body fat deposition (Ain Baziz et al., 1996; Geraert et al., 1996), and such effects were also observed in the breast, thigh+drumstick and wings (Faria Filho, 2006). The lower deposition of energy as protein in heat-exposed broilers might be related to a metabolism adaptation to maintain thermal homeostasis, since the greater protein deposition causes greater heat production (Macleod, 1997), which is not desirable for heat-exposed broilers. Moreover, the lower basal metabolism and activity of heat-exposed broiler (Ain Baziz et al., 1996) explain the higher deposition of energy as fat for broilers reared at 32°C.

Heat production and AME intake were lower, and energy retention as protein and energy retention efficiency was greater in broilers fed 20% or 23% of crude protein compared to birds fed 17%. Energy retention as fat was lower in broilers fed 23% of crude protein compared with their 20 or 17% of crude protein counterparts. Some studies, as the present findings, have shown that low-protein diets increased heat production (Buyse et al., 1992; Nieto et al., 1997; Swennen et al., 2004), whereas others have reported no effects (Macleod, 1990, 1992, 1997). The greater heat production of low-protein diets has been attributed to increased serum levels of the thyroid-derived hormone triiodothyronine (T₃) (Buyse et al., 1992), which has a calorigenic effect. The low-protein diets used by Buyse et al. (1992), Nieto et al. (1997) and Swennen et al. (2004) were amino acid deficient, which is associated to the greater plasma levels of T₃. Diets deficient in arginine, lysine, isoleucine, methionine (Carew et al., 1997) and tryptophan (Carew et al., 1983) increased T₃ plasma levels. Conversely, the low-protein diet in the present study had ideal amino acid profile (Baker and Han, 1994) for methionine, threonine, tryptophan, arginine, valine and isoleucine. The AME intake was greater in broilers fed with 17% of crude protein, and also, the energy:protein relation was greater in this diet since the energy content was kept constant. Therefore, increased heat production and greater fat retention were the mechanisms used by broilers to compensate for the excessive energy intake, as observed by Swennen et al. (2004). Such findings explain the mechanism by which there is greater fat deposition when broilers are fed with low-protein diets (Aletor et al., 2000). The lower energy retention efficiency of broilers fed 17% of crude protein is also associated with the mechanism mentioned before.

Other important point to consider is that broilers reared
under 32°C and fed high-protein diet did not modify protein synthesis (Temim et al., 2000b). Since heat production is highly associated to protein accretion (MacLeod, 1997), such results indicate that high-protein diets have not increased heat production. The present findings corroborate this hypothesis. The low heat production supports the practice of feeding high-protein diets to broilers in high environmental temperatures.

**Protein metabolism:** There was no significant interaction between protein levels and environmental temperature on nitrogen intake, retention, excretion and retention efficiency (Table 5). Environmental temperature did not affect nitrogen ingestion corrected for metabolic weight (kg^{0.75}). Nevertheless, birds exposed to 22°C showed nitrogen excretion 15% smaller than the birds reared at 32°C. Temim et al. (1999) has reported a decrease of 27% in nitrogen excretion for broilers under the same conditions. The greater nitrogen excretion results from the lower efficiency of nitrogen retention in broilers reared at 32°C, as observed by Faria Filho et al. (2006) between 42 and 49 days of age in broilers exposed to 32°C. In the present study, approximately 49% of the nitrogen was retained in the carcasses, similarly to the value of 51.1% reported by Patterson and Adrizal (2005). Nitrogen intake, retention and excretion increased with protein levels in the diet. The efficiency of nitrogen retention tended (p = 0.09) to decrease in birds fed 23% of crude protein compared with their 17 or 20% counterparts. Protein utilization is generally better in broilers fed low-protein diets (Blair et al., 1999; Aletor et al., 2000; Swennen et al., 2004) and this fact might be a metabolism adjustment in order to use protein better when it is provided in limited quantities. Broilers fed with 20 and 17% of crude protein decreased nitrogen excretion in 17 and 24% in comparison to the ones fed with 23% of crude protein. These values are similar to those reported by Blair et al. (1999), who concluded that it might be possible to reduce nitrogen excretion between 10 and 27% by decreasing dietary protein levels. Faria Filho et al. (2006) reported that nitrogen excretion decreased in birds kept at 20 or 25°C and fed low-protein diets, but such effect was not observed at 32°C. Although it has been shown in the present study that the low-protein diets have decreased nitrogen excretion, broiler performance has been impaired.

**Conclusions:**
1. Heat exposure impairs broilers performance and increases nitrogen excretion, but do not change nutrients digestibility;
2. High-protein diets are technically feasible and promote lower heat production for broilers reared under thermoneutral or hot environments, however, high-protein diets increases nitrogen excretion.
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