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Abstract: Turkey is home to a broad range of domestic livestock species that includes ruminant and monogastric quadrupeds and at least eight species of poultry. Ducks and geese are minor species of poultry in terms of numbers but are spread over most of the country (with concentrations of geese in the northeast) and are owned mainly by resource-poor smallholder farm families to whom they contribute animal protein and thus improve welfare. Government recognizes only one indigenous breed of each species but there are various colour morphs of both ducks and geese and these show differences in egg weights and growth traits. Some exotic Pekin ducks were imported in 1984 and Muscovy ducks at an unknown time. There were attempts to modify the genome of local geese with imports of Romanola eggs in 1988 and French White eggs in 1992. There has been limited research on disease in the two species. Most production is for home consumption so there is little internal market activity but there have been sporadic exports and exports of duck meat and goose foie gras. Government has a policy statement on duck and goose production but does not support or promote any conservation activities. There are both technical and administrative challenges to improved and increased production of ducks and geese but opportunities exist for enterprise diversification, for new entrants to species that are less costly to keep and produce than many other domestic species and for processing and producing value-added products.
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INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity, including livestock biodiversity, is an indication of the genetic and economic wealth of a country. As a natural bridge between Europe and Asia, Turkey has been traversed by traders, travelers, treasure seekers and trespassers for thousands of years. The eclectic mix of species and breeds of domestic livestock within Turkey’s boundaries is partially a result of these exchanges. The country is home to four species of ruminant, two of camel (as pseudo ruminants), one of pig, three of equine (including the mule), the dog, the cat and the rabbit (Yilmaz and Wilson, 2012). To these quadrupeds may be added at least eight species of poultry. Domestic fowl (“chicken”) is overwhelmingly the most important both numerically and economically of the avian species. Turkey is the next most important species. Duck (both common Anas platyrhynchos and Muscovy Moschata cairina) and goose together are a minor component of poultry although they account for about 25.3 per cent of the group in Southeast Anatolia (Sakarya et al., 2008). There is also sufficient interest in the two species for them to be the subject of at least three textbooks (Selcuk et al., 1983; Selcuk and Akyurt, 1986; Kocak and Yalcin, 1993). Other poultry species kept for production, as a hobby or for experimental purposes include pigeons (Yilmaz et al., 2013), pheasants (Kececi and Col, 2011) and Japanese quail (Oguz and Parlatt, 2004). Poultry meat and eggs provide an average of 40 per cent of animal protein to the human diet in Turkey’s rural areas in the range 25-60 per cent (McLeod, 2007). This paper is one of a series on the domestic livestock of Turkey and provides a review and bibliography of duck and goose production in the country.

Production systems: Duck and geese production is almost entirely of the free range back yard type with almost all output being for home consumption (Selkuk and Akyurt, 1986; Testik, 1997). There are, however, some small commercial Pekin duck enterprises (Testik, 1995) and some semi-intensive production of geese (GDAR, 2004). Goose production is sometimes not considered compatible with agriculture as geese can cause damage to crops (Selcuk and Akyurt, 1986). Management and husbandry practices have been shown to affect the welfare of ducks and the profitability of the enterprise. Providing access to open water has been shown to have positive effects on welfare but a more intensive system yielded higher financial returns (Demir et al., 2010).

Geese are commonly fattened by smallholder farmers in East Anatolia and about half of all goose meat is...
produced in Ardahan and Kars Provinces (Aral and Aydin, 2007; Kirmizibayrak et al., 2011). Limited fattening usually starts in spring under extensive conditions when birds are about 1 month old. Later in the year they are transferred to intensive feeding for about 1 month before they are slaughtered mainly for home consumption although some are sold alive or as meat in local markets. A more intensive system of fattening takes place on some specialized farms and where young birds are fed for about 14 weeks and then slaughtered when they weigh about 6 kg (Aral and Aydin, 2007; Turhan and Saricaoglu, 2011). Meat from geese killed in autumn is preserved, either pickled or dried and smoked, for winter use. In Samsun Province two products, known as ‘kaz batirmasi’ and ‘kaz asthma’ are renowned local delicacies (Turhan and Saricaoglu, 2011).

Genetic resources: An official source indicates there is one locally adapted or native breed for each of duck and goose and one exotic breed of duck (Pekin) (GDAR, 2004). The goose breed is said to be at risk but both it and the exotic duck are widely used in production (GDAR, 2004).

Pekin ducks were first imported in 1984 with the arrival of 46 000 ducklings (Turkoglu, 1993). Attempts to modify the genotype of local geese started in 1988 with the import (under the auspices of FAO) to Erzurum and Kars Provinces of 12 000 eggs of the Romanola breed from Italy (Saghy, 1994). This first attempt failed due to low egg output and poor hatchability (Tilki and Inal, 2004b). A further import of 2040 French White (from the Rouen station of the Institute National des Recherches Agronomiques) goose eggs from France to the Kars Goose Breeding Station was made in 1992 but this attempt was effectively also a failure as the station was closed in 1997 (Tilki and Inal, 2004b). Some geese were transferred to the Faculty of Veterinary Science at Kafkas University but these were later slaughtered as they were infected with tuberculosis. Some French white were still being raised at the Faculty of Veterinary Science at Selcuk University in Konya Province in the early 2000s (Tilki and Inal, 2004b) and a few crossbreeds of French White and native goose can be seen in the Kars region (Orhan Yilmaz, pers.obs.)

In spite of the official “one breed” concept several distinct populations of duck and goose have been identified (Isguzar and Testik, 1999) although it seems these may be little more than colour morphs (Fig. 1, 2). In Isparta Province ‘boz’ (= grey), ‘yesilbas’ (green head but generally known as mallard) and ‘kara’ (black) types of common duck (generically known as ‘ordek’) have been distinguished as well as Black Muscovy, Black-white Muscovy and White Muscovy (Isguzar, 2005). As for ducks, unofficial as distinct from official sources have identified several local ecotypes of goose. Thus, in the Sparta Region five types have been identified as ‘kara’ (= black), ‘beyaz’ (white), ‘am’ (yellow [also known as Damascus]), ‘alaca’ (piebald) and ‘tülü’ (feathery) (Isguzar and Pingel 2003). ‘armutlu’ (pear), ‘tatlicak’ (sweetish) and ‘ba’kuyu’ (baskuyu) types have also been identified (Tilki and Inal, 2004b).

Numbers and distribution: Duck numbers were estimated at about 480 000 and geese numbers at about 500 000 in 1961 (FAOStat, 2012). Over the succeeding 30 years there was a steady increase in the numbers of both species such that in 1991 duck numbers were about 860 000 and geese numbers about 1 400 000. There then followed a period of more rapid increase in numbers of goose whose population reached about 1 800 000 in 1997 at which level it remained until the year 2000. Duck numbers continued to increase steadily throughout the 1990s followed by what appears to be an anomaly in the data which gives their population as 1 500 000 in 1998, 1 100 000 in 1999 and 1 040 000 in the year 2000 (FAOStat, 2012). One official source (GDAR, 2004) gave the number of ducks as 1.104 million and geese as 1.497 million in 2001. In the twenty-first century there has been a rapid decline in the number of ducks to 380 000 in 2010 and a slightly less rapid one in goose numbers to 1.060 million (Fig. 3).

Ducks are kept throughout the country: Geese are also kept to some extent throughout the country but there are heavier concentrations in areas with especially cold winters where temperatures as low as minus 40 ° Celsius may occur regularly. Thus goose raising is especially focussed in East and Central Anatolia and particularly in Mus, Kars and Ardhan Provinces (Table 1) with smaller concentrations in the central Aegean region (Aral and Aydin, 2007; Turhan and Saricaoglu, 2011; Boz et al., 2012).
Production: The relative importance of various outputs differs according to the species. The value of products from ducks comprises 15 per cent meat, 10 per cent eggs, 15 per cent “culture” (hobby), 20 per cent feathers and 40 per cent environmental management. For geese the values are meat 10 per cent, eggs 10 per cent, culture 20 per cent, feathers 40 per cent, and environmental management 20 per cent (GDAR, 2004). Duck meat production in Turkey in 2000 was 4 tonnes whereas that of the goose was 12 tonnes (GDAR, 2004); these data presumably refer to marketed rather than total production. Meat production data for other years vary widely—for example, 464 tonnes in 1996, 106 tonnes in 1998, 24 tonnes in 1999 and 5 tonnes in 2005 (Aral and Aydin, 2007) and it is likely that information on this aspect of animal production is not collected systematically.

There have been several studies of egg production, growth and carcass yield and meat quality of ducks (Testik et al., 1988; Turkoglu, 1993; Karaman and Testik, 1995; Karaca et al., 1996; Isguzar et al., 2002; Isguzar, 2005; Demir et al., 2010). Similar exercises have been undertaken for geese (Marasli et al., 1996; Arslan and Saatci, 2003a, b; Isguzar and Pingel, 2003; Tilki and Inal, 2004a,b,c; Tilki et al., 2004; 2005; Saatci et al., 2005; 2009; Saatci and Tilki, 2007; Sahin et al., 2008; Celik and Bozkurt, 2009; Tilki et al., 2009; Arslan and Tufal, 2011; Tilki et al., 2011a, b; Yakan et al., 2012).

Egg weights of ‘boz’, ‘ye’iiba’ and ‘kara’ local ducks have been shown under experimental conditions to be similar to those of Pekin but lighter than black Muscovy, black-white Muscovy and white Muscovy with all being within the range 68.6 g to 76.3 g (Table 2) (Isguzar, 2005). Hatching weights of these types were in the range of 41.7 g to 46.2 g but were not directly related to the variation in egg weights (Isguzar, 2005). These egg and hatching weights are similar to those found in other Turkish studies (Testik and Karaca, 1991; Karaman and Testik 1995; Karaca et al., 1996) but it appears that eggs
Table 1: Geese numbers and percentage distribution in Turkish provinces, 2000-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mus</td>
<td>172 000</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>111 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kars</td>
<td>110 350</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>140 194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardahan</td>
<td>97 680</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>142 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yozgat</td>
<td>87 400</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>34 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsun</td>
<td>62 880</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>43 656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agri</td>
<td>44 600</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>31 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afyon</td>
<td>36 420</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>26 830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konya</td>
<td>36 780</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>57 062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erzurum</td>
<td>32 390</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>22 788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanliurfa</td>
<td>27 900</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>35 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>1 496 604</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1 066 581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Boz et al. (2012)

Table 2: Some egg, growth and carcass characteristics of Turkish ducks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Egg weight (g)</th>
<th>Live weight (g)</th>
<th>Percentage of Breast+leg to carcass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hatching</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local 'boz'</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>1126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local 'ye’ilba’</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local 'kara'</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pekin</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>1174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Muscovy</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Muscovy</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Source: adapted from Isguzar et al. (2002), Isguzar (2005)

from local ducks under scavenging conditions are lighter and in the range 54.8 g to 64.2 g (Isguzar and Testik, 1999). Fertility was in the range 33.5 per cent to 78.5 per cent with black-white Muscovy ducks showing by far the lowest value. Hatchability of fertile eggs was in the range 31.7 per cent to 48.6 per cent with no clear trend among local, Pekin and Muscovy ducks (Isguzar, 2005). Fertility and hatchability data are again similar to other Turkish studies (Testik and Karaca, 1991; Turkoglu, 1993; Karaman and Testik, 1995; Karaca et al., 1996). Growth in Turkish local ducks shows the typical pattern of very rapid early gains up to 4 weeks with a subsequent slowing down (Table 2). 'boz' and 'ye’ilba’ types reach about 2 kg at 12 weeks with the ‘kara’ and the Pekin weighing about 1.8 kg, there being significant differences in genotype and sex (Isguzar et al., 2002). Other studies have shown that Pekin ducks in Turkey have reached 2.5 kg at 12 weeks (Testik et al., 1988). Protein contents of meat are similar in local and Pekin ducks at about 20 per cent but local ducks have significantly lower fat content and therefore may have possibilities for cross breeding for genotypes with reduced fat (Isguzar et al., 2002).

The ‘boz’ type has the greatest dressing percentage (carcass weight including edible offal) among the three local common and Pekin ducks (Table 2). Turkish Pekin males have, however, a significant advantage in the percentage of breast and leg to total carcass weight in comparison with the local types. The percentage of breast meat in Turkish studies tends to be higher than for other ducks but this is probably due the 12-week slaughter age as, although overall growth slows down at an early age, the breast continues to grow relatively faster. Percentages of heart and liver do not differ among genotypes but for unknown reasons gizzard percentages between ‘kara’ and ‘boz’ ducks were different (Isguzar et al., 2002). Dressing percentages of 71.3-73.7 per cent are considerably reduced if offals are not included. In an earlier study the contributions to total carcass weight of bone was 28 per cent, for skin was 38 per cent and for meat was 34 per cent (Kocak and Yalcin, 1993). Percentage of heart to total carcass weight was 0.7 per cent and of liver was 2.9 per cent. Highest percentages of protein-which overall is about 20 per cent-were found in Turkish Pekin in breast and leg meat and in ‘kara’ meat. Fat percentages of the carcass differed considerably among genotypes with that of Pekin ducks (~15 per cent) being two and half times greater than that of the ‘yesilbas’ (~6 per cent) local type, about twice that of the ‘boz’ (~7-8 per cent) and one and one half times that of the ‘kara’ (~10 per cent) type (Isguzar et al., 2002). Geese in Turkey are kept to produce meat and feathers and down. Foie gras is produced to a limited extent (Aral and Aydin, 2007; Turhan and Saricaoglu, 2011). The laying period is from January/February to June/July with individual geese laying 8-60 eggs over a period of up to
Table 3: Some egg, growth and carcass characteristics of Turkish geese

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Egg weight (g)</th>
<th>Hatching</th>
<th>3 weeks</th>
<th>5 weeks</th>
<th>9 weeks</th>
<th>12 weeks</th>
<th>Dressing percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'kara' (white)</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>565.8</td>
<td>1167.4</td>
<td>2427.9</td>
<td>2728.6</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'beyaz' (black)</td>
<td>150.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>486.3</td>
<td>998.9</td>
<td>2102.6</td>
<td>2442.6</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'am' (yellow)</td>
<td>148.4</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>589.9</td>
<td>1275.8</td>
<td>2350.4</td>
<td>2752.3</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'ala' (piebald)</td>
<td>150.9</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>476.9</td>
<td>1203.5</td>
<td>2175.9</td>
<td>2433</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'tülü' (tissue)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>458.2</td>
<td>1267.4</td>
<td>2145.1</td>
<td>2543.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: adapted from Isguzar et al. (2002), Isguzar and Pingel (2003), Isguzar (2005)

Fig. 4: Exports from Turkey of duck meat and livers and foie gras, 1996-2008

130 days. Fewer eggs are laid by young geese being 8.1 for 1-year-old birds and 12.2 for 2-years-old (Arslan and Saatci, 2003b). Egg weights of these young birds were 144.2 g, fertility was 60.5 per cent and hatchability was 22.2 per cent. Some geese continue laying until 10 years of age with egg weights of older birds being as much as 200 g (Aral and Aydin, 2007). The higher number laid by Turkish geese is more than the 15-20 eggs per year of many other types (Buckland and Guy, 2002). A total of 512 eggs collected in 2001 and 2002 from four colour variants of native geese aged 3-4 years at the Faculty Farm of Kafkas University, Kars had an overall mean weight of 148.43 g (Table 3). There were significant differences in egg weight related to colour with eggs of yellow birds being heavier than those of all other types (Saatci et al., 2005). Egg weight was significantly correlated with hatching weight which averaged 96.12 g overall, although goslings hatched from 'am' eggs weighed less than the other three types.

Turkish geese are rather small with mature weights generally being in the range of 3 to 6 kg (Ilaslan and Askin, 1976; Selcuk et al., 1983; Tilki et al., 2005). In common with most domestic waterfowl, early growth is very rapid but slows down quickly from about 12 weeks of age (Tilki et al., 2009). In one feeding experiment involving 50 native geese from Diyarbakir province geese that weighed 2908 g at the end of the experimental period has gained 33 g/day for a feed intake of 144.4 g/day at a feed conversion ratio of 5.5 (Arslan and Tufan, 2011). Weights of five different colour morphs showed significant differences among them and there were also differences between males and females (Isguzar and Pingel, 2003). The overall average weight of all morphs combined at 12 weeks was about 2.5 kg with 'kara' and 'am' being heaviest and 'beyaz', 'ala' and 'tülü' lightest (Table 3). Relationships between body weight and linear measurements of several body parts have been shown to be significant (Saatci and Tilki, 2007).

Geese from four different areas showed statistically significant differences in several carcass and slaughter parameters (Tilki and Inal, 2004c). Overall carcass weights for geese slaughtered at 104 weeks averaged 69.3-71.6 per cent of live weight (Table 3) with breast and leg combined contributing almost half of this (Isguzar and Pingel, 2003). Protein contents were in the range 18-22 per cent and fat contents were very low at less than 1 per cent.

Feathers and down are used locally in padded winter clothing, in mattresses, pillows, bed quilts and in soft furnishings. A study of feather and down production was undertaken on 145 geese of four different colours from the Kars Region. Males produced a total greater weight of feather and down than females and there were also differences in production among the colour types. At 12 weeks of age male feather production was 100.3 g and that of down was 129.3 g. Female feather production at the same age was 90.6 g whereas that of down was 104.9 g (Saatci, 2008). It has been estimated that feather and down production could be as much as 25 tonnes per year in Ardhan Province (Aral and Aydin, 2007).

Under conditions of force feeding liver weights can increase from 80 g at 9 weeks to 600-1000 g at 25 weeks (Aral and Aydin, 2007).

In an experiment involving 14 Turkish geese there was no significant improvement in eggshell thickness and weight following supplementation with NaHCO₃ (sodium bicarbonate) but results indicated that supplementation may support the maintenance of venous blood pH, base excess, HCO₃⁻, pCO₂ and tCO₂ levels at the physiological ranges which are required for normal health and production status of the goose during the laying cycle (Kaya et al., 2004).

Pathology: Lesions of nodular type were seen in the liver, spleen and lungs of seven geese that died at the breeding unit of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of
Kafkas University. Histopathological examination revealed that lesions were characterized by central areas of caseous necrosis surrounded by epithelioid cells, multinucleated giant cells, lymphocytes and an outer fibrous capsule. Acid-fast bacilli were identified by the Ziehl-Neelsen staining method in paraffin sections. Turkey has a broad ranging series of projects covering outer fibrous capsule. Acid-fast bacilli were identified by GDAR, 2004.

media with glycerin yielded and smears and inoculation into Lowenstein-Jensen improvement and conservation of many of its indigenous cells, multinucleated giant cells, lymphocytes and duck meat as well as egg should be encouraged. Areas of caseous necrosis surrounded by epithelioid production, consumption and export of broiler, turkey and beef meat not only for current and home use but also to contribute to niche markets because of low fat contents of the meat. They can add to diversification of existing production and thus reduce the risks associated with a narrow base. Ducks and geese are very suitable for use in integrated systems that, for example, make use of by-products from other enterprises and in pond based systems that produce fish. There are good opportunities for producing value added products such as foie gras and down and feathers which continue to be reported over a wide area of Turkey.

Markets and trade: There is little internal marketing of duck and goose products as almost all production is for home consumption. There has been sporadic export and import trade in duck meat, duck liver, goose liver and foie gras with 1996 being the outstanding year with 66 tonnes of duck meat valued at US$ 66 000 and 20 tonnes of foie gras valued at US$ 39 000 being exported (Fig. 4). A complete ban on imports of live birds and poultry products from Turkey to the European Union was adopted in early October 2005 and remains in place in late 2012 (EU, 2012).

Policy issues: Official policy at the beginning of the 20th century was “Through the improvement of poultry production, consumption and export of broiler, turkey and duck meat as well as egg should be encouraged.” (GDAR, 2004). Turkey has a broad ranging series of projects covering improvement and conservation of many of its indigenous domestic animals (Arat, 2011) but neither ducks nor geese are included in these activities.

Challenges and opportunities: The challenges facing duck and goose production include lack of technical knowledge by producers and government support services alike. No formal marketing system, unavailability of financial resources for improvement and development and improvement of the quality and safety of products. The local duck and goose genotypes are suitable for meat production not only for current and home use but also to contribute to niche markets because of low fat contents of the meat. They can add to diversification of existing production and thus reduce the risks associated with a narrow base. Ducks and geese are very suitable for use in integrated systems that, for example, make use of by-products from other enterprises and in pond based systems that produce fish. There are good opportunities for producing value added products such as foie gras and down and feathers which continue to have high demand on both external and internal markets. They demand little in the way of capital investment in semi-intensive improved systems and produce rapid returns if managed and marketed correctly.
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